Discussion

"An Equilibrium Asset Pricing Model with Labor Market Search" by Lars-Alexander Kuehn, Nicolas Petrosky-Nadeau and Lu Zhang

Thijs van Rens

University of Warwick, Centre for Macroeconomics, IZA and CEPR

Barcelona GSE Summer Forum

Workshop on "Asset Prices and the Business Cycle"

Barcelona, 17-18 June 2013

An Eq'm Asset Pricing Model with Labor Market Search

- Paper combines two well-known models
 - Production-based asset pricing model (RBC + Epstein-Zin preferences)
 - Search model of the labor market (Diamond-Mortensen-Pissarides-Merz)
- Predictions for labor markets do not change much
 - Tallarini (2000)
 - My intuition: discount factor dominated by separation rate
- Predictions for asset prices improve substantially
- Contributions
 - Methodological: non-linear solution is key
 - Substantive: asset pricing
- Very interesting (and much discussed) paper!

Results

- Asset pricing model with labor market search delivers
 - "a coherent account of asset prices"
 - "endogenous rare disasters"
- Non-linearity makes deep recessions even deeper
 - Endogenous rare disasters
 - High equity premium
 - Time-varying equity premium (predictable from labor market conditions)
 - Stock market volatility
 - Time-varying volatility (uncertainty 'shocks')
 - Highly volatile profits, procyclical dividends (profits minus investment)
- All of these results bring the model closer to the data
 - Wide range of statistics for asset prices
 - Probability and size of disasters (Barro-Ursúa)

Non-linearity and rare disasters



Skewness in unemployment:

2.5 percentile median 97.5 percentile 5.9% 7.3% 19.3%

Non-linearity and rare disasters: mechanism

- Sources of the non-linearity
 - Costs of posting vacancies increases in recessions

Cost per hire
$$\kappa_{t}=rac{\kappa_{0}}{q\left(heta
ight)}+\kappa_{1},\;q'\left(heta
ight)<0,\;q''\left(heta
ight)>0$$

- Diminishing returns in the matching function, $q^{\prime\prime}>0$
- Fixed costs of posting vacancies κ₁
- Wage rigidity increases in recessions

$$W_t = \eta \left(X_t + \kappa_t \theta_t \right) + (1 - \eta) b$$

- Volatile labor market makes this relevant
 - Countercyclical hiring costs
 - Small profits (small surplus calibration)
 - Wage rigidity
- Why need a relatively high separation rate?
 - My intuition: otherwise (convex) hiring costs too small (?)

- Intuitively, something is not right
 - Rare disasters are just recessions with particularly low job creation
 - Was the financial crisis so severe because hiring costs were particularly high?
 - Is Greece in so much trouble because wages have become (even) more rigid?
- A peace offering
 - I will buy into the mechanism, ...
 - if this is one out of many types of disasters
- But then, why is the model fit so good?
 - ullet In the model, θ predicts excess returns much better than in the data
 - Yet, the model matches the average level of the equity premium
- Possible explanation:
 - $\bullet\,$ Full participation \Rightarrow skewness unemployment spills over to employment
 - With participation margin, skewness employment will be less

Minor comments

- Compare results to model with competitive labor market
 - Current comparative statics stop short of removing search frictions
 - Need to model endogenous participation, to avoid full employment
- Distinguish between wage rigidity and small surplus
 - Conceptually different
 - Close link comes from Nash bargaining assumption, not realistic
- $\bullet\,$ Use timing consistent with job finding rate between 0 and 1
 - Steady state unemployment rate $\bar{u} = rac{s}{s+f(heta_t)}
 eq 0$ as $f\left(heta_t
 ight)
 ightarrow 1$
 - Alternative timing assumption (Blanchard-Galí)

$$u_{t+1} = (1 - f(\theta_t)) \left[u_t + s(1 - u_t) \right] \Rightarrow \bar{u} = \frac{(1 - f(\theta_t)) s}{(1 - f(\theta_t)) s + f(\theta_t)}$$

• Calibration of *b* matters for other model predictions (Costain-Reiter)